EXHIBIT B
-----------

	Case	e 3:08-cr-00804-W Document 17-4 Filed 04/28/2008 Page 2 of 69
•	Ca	se 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 2 of 69
	1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	3	
	4	
	5	IN DE MUE INDIVIDUALIS
÷	6	IN RE: THE IMPANELMENT ) OF GRAND JURY PANELS 07-1 AND )
	7	07-2
	8	
	9	
	11	PEFORE THE HONORARY BARRAS
• . '	12	BEFORE THE HONORABLE LARRY ALAN BURNS
* 3	13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
	14	REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
,	15	WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 11, 2007
	16	
·	17	
	18	
	19	
	20	
	21	COURT REPORTER: EVA OFMICK
120 80	22 .	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER
,	, 23	UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE 940 FRONT STREET, STE. 2190 SAN DIEGO, CA 92101
	24	TEL: (619) 615-3103
2	25	
•		

### SAN DIEGO, CALIFORNIA-WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 11, 2007-10:45 A.M.

MR. HAMRICK: YOU AND EACH OF YOU DO SOLEMNLY SWEAR OR AFFIRM THAT YOU WILL GIVE TRUE ANSWERS TO ALL QUESTIONS THAT WILL BE PUT TO YOU TOUCHING ON YOUR QUALIFICATION TO SERVE AS A GRAND JUROR DURING THIS SESSION OF COURT, SO HELP YOU?

(ALL GRAND JURORS RESPOND AFFIRMATIVELY)

MR. HAMRICK: THANK YOU. PLEASE BE SEATED AND COME
TO ORDER.

THE COURT: LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, GOOD MORNING AND WELCOME TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. YOU'RE HERE TODAY IN CONNECTION WITH OUR EFFORT TO IMPANEL GRAND JURIES. I KNOW ALL OF YOU HAVE SEEN THE FILM ABOUT THE FUNCTION OF THE GRAND JURY. I'M GOING TO TALK A LITTLE BIT MORE ABOUT THAT LATER IN MY REMARKS AND MY INTERACTIONS WITH YOU TODAY.

WE'RE ESSENTIALLY LOOKING FOR PEOPLE WHO CAN FAIRLY
AND CONSCIENTIOUSLY EVALUATE A SET OF FACTS AND MAKE AN
IMPORTANT DECISION ABOUT WHETHER CASES SHOULD MOVE FORWARD TO
TRIAL. THAT'S THE FUNCTION OF THE GRAND JURY. YOU'RE TO WEED
OUT THE GROUNDLESS CHARGES FROM THOSE THAT HAVE MERIT,
ALTHOUGH ACKNOWLEDGING THAT YOU'RE NOT MAKING A FINAL DECISION
ON WHETHER A PERSON IS GUILTY OR NOT OF A CRIMINAL CHARGE.
BUT THE IDEA OF PUTTING A PERSON THROUGH A TRIAL OF ITSELF IS
A SIGNIFICANT RESPONSIBILITY, AND THAT'S THE RESPONSIBILITY

THAT WE CALL ON YOU TO FULFILL. '

MY NAME IS LARRY BURNS. I'M THE JURY JUDGE. I'LL TELL YOU A LITTLE BIT ABOUT OUR COURT.

WE HAVE 12 ACTIVE JUDGES HERE NOW AND FIVE SENIOR
JUDGES. WHEN A FEDERAL JUDGE TURNS 65 AND ASSUMING THEIR AGE
AND THEIR YEARS OF SERVICE ADD UP TO 80, THEY CAN GO SENIOR
AND WORK A REDUCED CASELOAD. BUT OUR SENIORS IN OUR VERY BUSY
DISTRICT WORK VERY, VERY HARD.

EACH OF US, EACH OF OUR JUDGES HERE, WAS APPOINTED BY ONE OF THE PRESIDENTS OF THE UNITED STATES AND CONFIRMED BY THE SENATE. WE HAVE A VERY BUSY, ACTIVE DISTRICT HERE. OUR PROXIMITY TO THE BORDER GIVES US LOTS OF CASES. I THINK IF YOU SERVE ON THE GRAND JURY OR IF YOU'VE BEEN HERE BEFORE SERVING AS A TRIAL JUROR, YOU'RE AWARE THAT PEOPLE TRY TO BRING THE STRANGEST THINGS ACROSS THE BORDER, A LOT OF WHICH ARE PROHIBITED; PEOPLE, DRUGS, PARROTS, KNOCK-OFF CALVIN KLEIN JEANS. ALL THOSE THINGS ARE NOW IMPLICATED BY THE FEDERAL LAWS.

LET ME INTRODUCE THE CLERK OF OUR COURT,
MR. HAMRICK. HE'S THE THAT CALLED COURT TO ORDER.

WE ALSO HAVE SOME REPRESENTATIVES HERE FROM THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. THEY WORK VERY CLOSELY WITH THE GRAND JURY. THEY'RE THE ONES THAT DECIDE, IN THE FIRST INSTANCE, WHETHER A CASE SHOULD BE BROUGHT. IT'S SUBJECT TO THE APPROVAL OF THE GRAND JURY THAT THE CASE CAN GO FORWARD.

IF YOU'RE CHOSEN AS A GRAND JUROR, YOU'RE GOING TO BE HEARING, BY AND LARGE, CASES PRESENTED BY THE ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS WHO WORK IN OUR DISTRICT.

REPRESENTING THAT OFFICE IS MR. TODD ROBINSON. HE'S A VERY FINE LAWYER. I'VE KNOWN HIM FOR YEARS. HE'S A FINE TRIAL LAWYER, VERY SMART FELLOW.

AND WHO'S BEEN WITH THE U.S.

ATTORNEY'S OFFICE FOR SOME TIME, ALSO, SHE'S THE GRAND JURY

ASSISTANT. YOU'LL BE GETTING TO KNOW HER IN WORKING WITH HER.

AND THEN WHOSE OUR JURY

AND THEN FINALLY I THINK MOST OF YOU HAVE MET

AND THEN THIS YOUNG WOMAN HERE, EVA OEMICK, SHE'S MY COURT REPORTER. YOU'LL SEE HER OCCASIONALLY WHEN YOU COME DOWN TO RETURN GRAND JURY INDICTMENTS. AFTER YOU DECIDE WHICH CASES SHOULD GO FORWARD, USUALLY THE FOREPERSON OR THE DEPUTY FOREPERSON WILL COME DOWN. SOMETIMES TO MY COURT; SOMETIMES TO OTHERS. BUT THAT'S A REPORTED PROCEEDING.

SO WE'RE GLAD TO HAVE YOU HERE TODAY. THIS IS
IMPORTANT SERVICE, AND WE APPRECIATE YOU BEING HERE. THE MOST
FAMILIAR RESPONSE I GET FROM FOLKS CALLED IN FOR JURY SERVICE
OR GRAND JURY SERVICE IS "I WISH I WAS SOMEWHERE ELSE."

AND I UNDERSTAND THE SENTIMENT. WE ALL LEAD VERY
BUSY LIVES. THIS IS REALLY IMPORTANT SERVICE. IT'S LIKE

TRIAL JURY SERVICE. I TELL OUR TRIAL JURORS THAT IF YOU WERE IN THE POSITION OF A DEFENDANT IN A CASE OR A PLAINTIFF IN A CIVIL CASE OR EVEN THE UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT,

MR. ROBINSON'S POSITION, YOU'D WANT THE CASE TO BE DECIDED BY PEOPLE WHO HAVE A STAKE IN THE COMMUNITY, PEOPLE WHO ARE FAIR-MINDED, PEOPLE WHO ARE CONSCIENTIOUS. THAT'S WHAT OUR JURY SYSTEM IS ABOUT. OUR GRAND JURY SYSTEM DEPENDS ON THAT AS WELL. WE WANT FAIR-MINDED PEOPLE TO MAKE THESE VERY IMPORTANT DECISIONS.

SO WHILE I ACKNOWLEDGE AND I UNDERSTAND THAT YOU LEAD VERY BUSY LIVES, WE APPRECIATE THE COMMITMENT THAT YOU MAKE. OUR SYSTEM DEPENDS ON IT. AT SOME POINT, IF YOU COUNT ON THE SYSTEM TO GIVE YOU JUSTICE, THEN YOU MUST BE PREPARED TO MAKE THIS KIND OF COMMITMENT WHEN CALLED UPON TO DO IT. SO AGAIN, I APPRECIATE YOU BEING HERE.

THAT SAID, WE'VE TRIED TO SCREEN THOSE PEOPLE
PREVIOUSLY WHO, IN THEIR QUESTIONNAIRES, TOLD US THAT THEY HAD
INSURMOUNTABLE PROBLEMS THAT WOULD PREVENT THEM FROM SERVING.
MY EXPERIENCE IS THAT BETWEEN THE TIME WE GET THE
QUESTIONNAIRES AND THE SESSION TODAY, THE SESSION WHERE WE
ACTUALLY SPEAK TO PEOPLE PERSONALLY, THAT SOMETIMES THERE'S
SOME ADDITIONAL PROBLEMS THAT ARISE THAT WEREN'T FORESEEN AND
WEREN'T KNOWN AT THE TIME ALL OF YOU FILLED OUT THE
QUESTIONNAIRES.

MADAM CLERK, IF YOU'LL CALL THE FIRST 23 NAMES.

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING AGAIN.

WITH THE BASIC BIOGRAPHICAL INFORMATION.

WOULD YOU TELL US ABOUT YOURSELF, PLEASE.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY MAKE

LIVE IN I'M A TEACHER. MY WIFE IS A

ONE ADULT CHILD. HE'S

I HAD

SOME EXPERIENCE IN THE STATE COURT. I WAS IN A TRIAL IN

NOVEMBER OR OCTOBER. YES, I CAN BE FAIR AND IMPARTIAL.

THE COURT: WHERE DO YOU TEACH?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SCHOOL DISTRICT.

THE COURT: WHAT IS YOUR DISCIPLINE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: LANGUAGE, ARTS, SOCIAL

STUDIES.

THE COURT: I HAVE TWO SONS WHO

THERE 24 YEARS. AND AS SOON AS THE YOUNGEST ONE GRADUATED,
TWO WEEKS LATER I MOVED CLOSER TO DOWNTOWN BECAUSE THE DRIVE

WAS KILLING ME.

HOW LONG HAVE YOU BEEN A TEACHER?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I STARTED AT ANI

I'VE BEEN AT FOR 15 YEARS.

THE COURT: NICE TO HAVE YOU.

2.2

THERE'S A DIFFERENCE, OF COURSE, BETWEEN THE

FUNCTION OF THE GRAND JURY AND THE FUNCTION OF THE TRIAL JURY.

HERE THE STANDARD OF PROOF IS NOT PROOF BEYOND A REASONABLE

DOUBT BECAUSE THE GRAND JURY IS NOT MAKING AN ULTIMATE

DECISION ABOUT WHETHER SOMEONE IS GUILTY OR NOT OF THE CHARGE.

INSTEAD, THE GRAND JURY IS DETERMINING REALLY TWO FACTORS:

"DO WE HAVE A REASONABLE -- COLLECTIVELY, DO WE HAVE A

REASONABLE BELIEF THAT A CRIME WAS COMMITTED? AND SECOND, DO

WE HAVE A REASONABLE BELIEF THAT THE PERSON THAT THEY PROPOSE

THAT WE INDICT COMMITTED THE CRIME?"

IF THE ANSWER IS "YES" TO BOTH OF THOSE, THEN THE CASE SHOULD MOVER FORWARD. IF THE ANSWER TO EITHER OF THE QUESTIONS IS "NO," THEN THE GRAND JURY SHOULD HESITATE AND NOT INDICT.

YOU UNDERSTAND THAT LEGAL DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GRAND JURY FUNCTION AND TRIAL JURY FUNCTION?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I DO.

THE COURT: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE YOUR ANSWERS.

TELL US ABOUT YOURSELF.

Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 10 of 69

Caşe 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 11 of 69

	1
1	WHO'S IN HIS I HAVE
2	EXPERIENCE AS A TRIAL JUROR IN MY MID-20'S. I COULD BE FAIR
3	IF SELECTED FOR A GRAND JURY.
4	THE COURT: YOU WERE IN YOUR MID-20'S OR YOU'VE
5	HEARD 25 CASES?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS IN MY MID-20'S. A LONG
7 ·	TIME AGO.
8	THE COURT: I ASKED ABOUT THE
9.	DIFFERENCE. ALL OF YOU, OF COURSE, HAVE SEEN THE ORIENTATION
.0	TAPE ABOUT THE FUNCTION OF THE GRAND JURY.
.1	YOU APPRECIATE THE DIFFERENCE IN THE FUNCTION
.2	BETWEEN THE TWO ENTITIES, TRIAL JURIES AND GRAND JURIES?
.3	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
. 4	THE COURT: YOU CAN CONSCIENTIOUSLY FULFILL THE JOB
.5	OF BEING A GRAND JUROR SHOULD YOU BE ACCEPTED TO SIT ON THIS
.6	PANEL?
.7	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I CAN.
.8	THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.
.9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: GOOD MORNING.
0	MY NAME IS
1	RETIRED NOW SINCE JUNE OF LAST YEAR. I WAS A PRINCIPAL
2	RESEARCH TECHNICIAN FOR
3	YEARS. MY WIFE IS A WE DON'T HAVE ANY
4	CHILDREN. AND I WAS ON A CIVIL REAL ESTATE TRIAL FOR A COUPLE
5	OF WEEKS MAYBE 10 OR 12 YEARS AGO. AND I CAN BE FAIR.

THE COURT: AS YOU'VE HEARD ME ALLUDE TO,
THE GRAND JURY FUNCTION IS TO DETERMINE WHETHER THERE'S ENOUGH
EVIDENCE FOR A CASE TO GO FORWARD FOR A FULL-BLOWN TRIAL.
THAT'S A PRELIMINARY DECISION IN THE CRIMINAL JUSTICE PROCESS,
BUT IT'S AN IMPORTANT DECISION. SOMETIMES THE POWER TO CHARGE
SOMEBODY TO BRING AN INDICTMENT AGAINST SOMEBODY IS THE POWER
TO RUIN SOMEBODY.

SO WE WANT YOU TO LOOK AT THE CASES CAREFULLY AND ANSWER THE TWO QUESTIONS THAT I MENTIONED TO "DO I HAVE A REASONABLE BELIEF THAT A FEDERAL CRIME WAS COMMITTED? AND DO I HAVE A REASONABLE BELIEF, BASED ON THE PRESENTATION OF EVIDENCE SO FAR, THAT THIS PERSON THEY WANT ME TO INDICT HAD SOMETHING TO DO WITH THAT, EITHER COMMITTED IT OR HELPED IN THE COMMISSION OF THE CRIME?"

CAN YOU MAKE DECISIONS SUCH AS THAT IF YOU WERE IMPANELED AS A GRAND JUROR HERE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

GOOD MORNING.

TELL US ABOUT YOURSELF.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M . I LIVE IN

I WORK AS A SPEECH PATHOLOGIST. I'M NOT MARRIED. I

DON'T HAVE ADULT CHÎLDREN. I'VE BEEN ON THREE TRIAL JURIES

ACROSS THE STREET.

THE COURT: WHAT WAS YOUR MOST RECENT TRIAL JURY

Ca	se 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 13 of 69
	12
•	
1	SERVICE, HOW LONG AGO?
2	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IN THE '80S.
3	AND I COULD BE A FAIR JUROR.
4	THE COURT: YOU MAKE THAT STATEMENT MINDFUL OF THE
5	QUESTIONS THAT I'VE PUT TO THE OTHER PROSPECTIVE GRAND JURORS?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
7	THE COURT: THANK YOU,
8	GOOD MORNING.
.9	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS
10	LIVE IN I'M A PRINCIPAL FOR AN ELEMENTARY SCHOOL.
11	I'M MARRIED, AND MY SPOUSE I HAVE ADULT
12	SHE IS I'VE SERVED AS A TRIAL JUROR
13	IN THE STATE COURT IN THE '80S. AND YES, I CAN BE FAIR.
14	THE COURT: DOES YOUR
15	
16	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. SHE'S
17	THE COURT:
. 18	WHAT IS THE NATURE OF HER DOES SHE
19	··· C
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR:
21	THE COURT: THANK YOU,
22	
23	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS
24	I WORK FOR THE POST OFFICE. I'M MARRIED. MY
25	HUSBAND'S MY I ONLY HAVE AND HE
****	

Case 3:07-cr-00491 BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 14 of 69 13 WORKS FOR THE I HAVE NO EXPERIENCE. YES, I CAN 1 2 BE FAIR. 3 THE COURT: THANK YOU, 4 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS 5 I'M A NURSE. I'M MARRIED TO 6 I HAVE CHILDREN, AND I'VE NEVER HAD ANY 7 8 EXPERIENCE AS A TRIAL JUROR. 9 THE COURT: YOU WATCHED OUR ORIENTATION FILM THIS 10 MORNING AND HAVE IN MIND THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN THE FUNCTION 11 OF THE GRAND JURY AND THE FUNCTION OF THE TRIAL JURY? 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 13 THE COURT: YOU'RE PREPARED TO SERVE THE FUNCTION OF A GRAND JUROR? . . 14 15 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'LL TRY. 16 THE COURT: THANK YOU, 17 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HI. MY NAME IS 19 I LIVE IN I'M A SERVICE FOR THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION. I'M NOT MARRIED, BUT I'LL BE GETTING MARRIED 20 21 IN APRIL. I DON'T HAVE ANY CHILDREN. I DON'T HAVE ANY 22 EXPERIENCE AS A TRIAL JUROR. AND YES, I WILL BE FAIR. THANK YOU. 23 THE COURT: 24 25 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS

3.

17.

LIVE IN I'M A SYSTEMS ANALYST FOR A LARGE PUBLISHING COMPANY. MY WIFE IS AN

I HAVE CHILDREN THAT THINK THEY'RE ADULTS, BUT THE OLDEST IS REALLY I'VE BEEN ON THREE SUPERIOR COURT TRIALS. AND I'M SURE I CAN FAIR AS A GRAND JUROR.

THE COURT: THE PRESENTATION OF

EVIDENCE TO THE GRAND JURY IS NECESSARILY ONE-SIDED. THAT'S

WHAT THE SYSTEM CONTEMPLATES. YOU'RE GOING TO BE HEARING ONLY

FROM THE PROSECUTOR. THE PROSECUTOR IS GOING TO BE PRESENTING

EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF THE PROPOSED CHARGE.

THERE'S A LATER OPPORTUNITY, IF AN INDICTMENT IS
RETURNED, FOR THE PERSON TO DEFEND HIMSELF OR HERSELF AND
PRESENT HIS OR HER SIDE OF THE CASE, CONFRONT THE ACCUSERS AND
THE WITNESSES AGAINST HIM.

BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU'RE PREPARED FOR
THAT SITUATION; THAT YOU'RE GOING TO BE HEARING JUST ONE SIDE,
AND YOU'RE GOING TO BE ASKED TO MAKE A DECISION BASED ON THE
PROSECUTOR'S EVIDENCE ALONE.

YOU'RE PREPARED FOR THAT: RIGHT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I UNDERSTAND THAT.

THE COURT: THAT'S ONE OF THE FUNDAMENTAL

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN THE FULL ADVERSARY SYSTEM OF A JURY TRIAL

AND THEN OUR GRAND JURY PROCEEDING.

NOW, HAVING TOLD YOU THAT, MY EXPERIENCE IS THAT THE PROSECUTORS DON'T PLAY HIDE-THE-BALL. IF THERE'S SOMETHING

## Case 3.07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 16 of 69

ADVERSE OR THAT CUTS AGAINST THE CHARGE, YOU'LL BE INFORMED OF THAT. THEY HAVE A DUTY TO DO THAT.

BUT THAT'S NOT TO SAY THAT EVERY CHARGE WILL PASS MUSTER. THAT'S UP TO YOU AND YOUR FELLOW GRAND JURORS.

UNDERSTANDING THAT THAT'S THE TASK OF THE GRAND JURY, I TAKE IT YOU'RE UP TO IT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I BELIEVE SO.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

### 

. 1

2.5

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS

I LIVE IN SAN DIEGO IN THE MISSION HILLS AREA. I'M RETIRED.

I WAS A CLINICAL SOCIAL WORKER. I'M SINGLE. NO CHILDREN.

I'VE BEEN CALLED FOR JURY SERVICE A NUMBER OF TIMES, BUT I'VE

NEVER ACTUALLY BEEN SELECTED AS A JUROR. CAN I BE FAIR? I'LL

TRY. BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE WORK THAT I DID, I HAVE

SOME FAIRLY STRONG OPINIONS ABOUT SOME OF THE PEOPLE WHO COME

INTO THE LEGAL SYSTEM. BUT I WOULD TRY TO WORK WITH THAT.

THE COURT: WE'RE ALL PRODUCTS OF OUR EXPERIENCE.

WE'RE NOT GOING TO TRY TO DISABUSE YOU OF EXPERIENCES OR

JUDGMENTS THAT YOU HAVE. WHAT WE ASK IS THAT YOU NOT ALLOW

THOSE TO CONTROL INVARIABLY THE OUTCOME OF THE CASES COMING IN

FRONT OF YOU; THAT YOU LOOK AT THE CASES FRESH, YOU EVALUATE

THE CIRCUMSTANCES, LISTEN TO THE WITNESS TESTIMONY, AND THEN

MAKE AN INDEPENDENT JUDGMENT.

DO YOU THINK YOU CAN DO THAT?

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

. 9

. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'LL DO MY BEST.

THE COURT: IS THERE A CERTAIN CATEGORY OF CASE THAT YOU THINK MIGHT BE TROUBLESOME FOR YOU TO SIT ON THAT YOU'D BE INSTINCTIVELY TILTING ONE WAY IN FAVOR OF INDICTMENT OR THE OTHER WAY AGAINST INDICTING JUST BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE CASE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WELL, I HAVE SOME FAIRLY STRONG
FEELINGS REGARDING DRUG CASES. I DO NOT BELIEVE THAT ANY
DRUGS SHOULD BE CONSIDERED ILLEGAL, AND I THINK WE'RE SPENDING
A LOT OF TIME AND ENERGY PERSECUTING AND PROSECUTING CASES
WHERE RESOURCES SHOULD BE DIRECTED IN OTHER AREAS.

I ALSO HAVE STRONG FEELINGS ABOUT IMMIGRATION CASES.

AGAIN, I THINK WE'RE SPENDING A LOT OF TIME PERSECUTING PEOPLE

THAT WE SHOULD NOT BE.

THE COURT: WELL, LET ME TELL YOU, YOU'VE HIT ON THE TWO TYPES OF CASES THAT ARE REALLY KIND OF THE STAPLE OF THE WORK WE DO HERE IN THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA. AS I MENTIONED IN MY INITIAL REMARKS, OUR PROXIMITY TO THE BORDER KIND OF MAKES US A FUNNEL FOR BOTH DRUG CASES AND IMMIGRATION CASES. YOU'RE GOING TO BE HEARING THOSE CASES I CAN TELL YOU FOR SURE. JUST AS DAY FOLLOWS NIGHT, YOU'RE HEAR CASES LIKE THAT.

NOW, THE QUESTION IS CAN YOU FAIRLY EVALUATE THOSE

CASES? JUST AS THE DEFENDANT ULTIMATELY IS ENTITLED TO A FAIR

TRIAL AND THE PERSON THAT'S ACCUSED IS ENTITLED TO A FAIR

Ca\$e 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 18 of 69

. 13

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CORRECT.

I LIVE IN MY HUSBAND AND I HAVE OUR OWN BUSINESS. WE ARE ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING COMPONENTS FOR WE'VE BEEN IN BUSINESS ABOUT YEARS NOW. WE'RE DOING REALLY WELL. HE FREAKED OUT WHEN HE TOLD ME I SHOULD JUST TELL YOU I SEE GUILTY PEOPLE.

THE COURT: OH, I SAY THROUGH THE PHONY EXCUSES.
YOU THINK OTHERS HAVEN'T TRIED THAT BEFORE.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M SURE THEY HAVE.

WE'VE BEEN MARRIED 31 YEARS. I HAVE TWO ADULT
CHILDREN. ONE'S A AND THE OTHER ONE'S A STUDENT AT IN IT'S MY FIRST COURT
APPEARANCE. AND I THINK I CAN BE FAIR.

THE COURT: I THINK YOU'LL ACTUALLY ENJOY IT, AND I HOPE YOU'LL BE ABLE TO ACCOMMODATE YOUR WORK SCHEDULE HELPING YOUR HUSBAND WITH THAT.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M KIND OF CONCERNED ABOUT OUR SCHEDULE.

THE COURT: MOST PEOPLE FIND A WAY TO WORK IT OUT.

WE HEAR OFTEN "WELL, I'M SELF-EMPLOYED. THIS IS GOING TO BE A

TREMENDOUS FINANCIAL BURDEN."

HERE'S WHAT I'M BUFFETED BY AS THE PERSON CHARGED WITH MAKING THE DECISIONS: THE CONSTITUTION REQUIRES THAT JURIES, TRIAL JURIES AND GRAND JURIES, BE DRAWN FROM A FAIR

· 4

1.3

.19

AND ACCURATE CROSS-SECTION OF THE COMMUNITY. AND WHAT THE COURTS HAVE SAID OVER THE YEARS IS NO GROUP IS AUTOMATICALLY EXCLUDED. YOU'VE GOT TO LOOK AT EVERYBODY. AND THE EXCUSES HAVE TO BE ON A CASE-BY-CASE BASIS.

AS YOU CAN IMAGINE, IF I EXCUSED EVERYONE WHO HAD FINANCIAL HARDSHIP OR WAS SELF-EMPLOYED, THEN WE WOULD SKEW OUR JURY POOLS. WE WOULD HAVE WHOLE SEGMENTS OF OUR COMMUNITY HERE THAT WERE NOT REPRESENTED, AND THAT WOULDN'T BE CONSISTENT WITH THE CONSTITUTIONAL GUARANTEES.

AND SO I'M THE GUY AT THE FLOODGATE WITH THE BIG
WHEEL TRYING TO TURN IT AND MAKE THE DECISIONS. I SAY THAT
RELUCTANTLY BECAUSE I'M NOT UNMINDFUL AT ALL OF THE BURDEN IT
PLACES ON NOT ONLY SELF-EMPLOYED PEOPLE, BUT PEOPLE WITH
REGULAR FULL-TIME JOBS THAT ARE GOING TO BE AWAY FOR A PERIOD
OF TIME.

WE DO APPRECIATE YOUR SERVICE. IT'S IMPORTANT SERVICE. YOU SAY THIS IS YOUR FIRST TIME HERE. IF YOU WERE EVER HERE IN SOME OTHER CAPACITY, WITH LITIGATION OF SOME TYPE, WHETHER CIVIL OR CRIMINAL, YOU WOULD WANT CONSCIENTIOUS PEOPLE FROM THE COMMUNITY. THAT'S THE GUARANTEE THAT WE TRY TO GIVE; IS THAT "WE'RE GOING TO GIVE YOU A JUST DECISION, AND IT WILL BE A JURY OF YOUR PEERS, PEOPLE JUST LIKE YOU FROM OUR COMMUNITY WHO WILL MAKE THE DECISION. WE CAN'T CONTINUE TO GIVE THAT GUARANTEE UNLESS WE HAVE PEOPLE WILLING TO SERVE.

I THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE THE SACRIFICE. WELCOME.

Ca	a <del>se 3:07 or 00491-BTM - Document 18 - Filed 07/30/2007 - Page 21 of 69</del>
	20
1	WE'RE GLAD TO HAVE YOU.
2	WE KE GEAD TO HAVE 100.
3	DDOCDECTIVE TUDOD. MV NAME TO
ب 4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS
5	
. 6	WIFE IS A I HAVE ADULT CHILDREN. MY
7	HODKING
8	WORKING
9	AND I HAVE ONCE BEEN A TRIAL JUROR ABOUT 15 YEARS AGO.
	THE COURT: CRIMINAL OR CIVIL?
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CIVIL CASE.
11	AND I COULD BE FAIR.
12	THE COURT: YOU MAKE THAT STATEMENT MINDFUL OF THE
13	QUESTIONS I'VE PUT TO OTHERS AND THE ANSWERS THAT THEY'VE
14	GIVEN?
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.
16	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
1.7	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I LIVE IN
19	I'M AN ENGINEER FOR THE
20	INSTALL AND MAINTAIN
21	I'M NOT MARRIED. I HAVE NO CHILDREN.
22	I HAVE NOT SERVED ON A JURY BEFORE.
23	THE COURT: ANY TYPE OF JURY?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ANY TYPE OF JURY.
25	I HOPE I CAN BE FAIR.
	ı , ı

1	THE COURT: I THINK YOU CAN BE FAIR.
2	THE QUESTION IS JUST A TIME PROBLEM; RIGHT?
3	THE DEFENDANT: YES, SIR.
4	THE COURT: DOESN'T HAVE TO DO WITH FAIRLY
.5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO, SIR.
6	THE COURT: I SPOKE TO YOU AT SIDEBAR ABOUT THAT.
7	IF IT BECOMES A PROBLEM, WE CAN DEAL WITH IT. I'LL REVISIT IT
. 8	AT SOME LATER TIME.
9	BUT I THINK THE EXPLANATION I GAVE TO ALSO
10.	WOULD APPLY IN YOUR CASE. I CAN'T JUST SUMMARILY SAY, "WELL,
11	THIS FELLOW'S GOT A TIME PROBLEM, SO WE'VE GOT TO LET HIM GO."
12	WHERE'S YOUR DUTY STATION HERE? WHERE'S YOUR
13	WORKPLACE?
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IN
15	THE COURT: THANK YOU. Í APPRECIATE
16	YOUR ANSWERS.
17	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS
19.	LIVE IN I'M A STATISTICIAN AT
20	I'M SEPARATED RIGHT NOW. I HAVE TWO
21	CHILDREN. I'VE BEEN ON A TRIAL JURY ON A CRIMINAL CASE AT THE
2,2.	SUPERIOR COURT ABOUT TWO YEARS AGO. AND I CAN BE FAIR.
23	THE COURT: YOU WATCHED THE FILM AND APPRECIATE THE
24	DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE FUNCTION OF THE GRAND JURY AND THEN
25.	WHAT A CRIMINAL TRIAL JURY DOES?

WHAT A CRIMINAL TRIAL JURY DOES?

Case 3.07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 23 of 69 22 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 2 THE COURT: THANK YOU, 3 I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE ANY JOKES ABOUT 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS JUST EAST OF HERE. 6 I'M A PROJECT 7 MANAGER FOR THE CITY OF 8 I'M MARRIED. MY WIFE'S A 9 SHE'S ON LEAVE RIGHT NOW RAISING KIDS; 10 SO THEY'RE NOT ADULTS. I HAVE NO EXPERIENCE 11 SERVING ON ANY JURY OF ANY TYPE. I'VE BEEN SUMMONED. I'VE 12 BEEN EXCUSED FROM ONE OR TWO. I'VE SIMPLY NOT BEEN CALLED FOR 13 OTHERS. I BELIEVE I CAN BE FAIR. 14 THE COURT: THANK YOU. 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I LIVE IN THE COMMUNITY OF I DRIVE A SCHOOL FOR THE 17 18 SCHOOL DISTRICT. MY WIFE IS A 1.9 I HAVE TWO CHILDREN. ONE'S A .20 BACK IN MY OTHER SON 21 WORKS AS A 22 THE COURT: HOW LONG HAVE YOU DRIVEN A SCHOOL BUS UP 23 IN THE 24 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THREE YEARS NOW. ACTUALLY, I'VE ONLY HAD TO DRIVE UP TO WITH A BUS FOR ABOUT THREE 250

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

#### Case 3:07 or 00491 BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 25 of 69

ADULT STEPCHILDREN. ONE IS AN AND ONE
IS IN I SERVED AS A TRIAL JUROR IN VISTA MAYBE
TEN YEARS AGO NOW. AND YES, I CAN BE FAIR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE YOUR ANSWERS.

.2

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS I'M

FROM I'M A HOMEMAKER. MY HUSBAND IS A

OUR OUR I'VE NEVER BEEN SELECTED

FOR A JURY, ALTHOUGH I WAS CALLED. AND I THINK I CAN BE FAIR.

THE COURT: WERE YOU CALLED UP TO VISTA? IS THAT WHERE YOU WOULD REPORT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

THE COURT:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MAY NAME IS

LIVE IN I'M A REAL ESTATE AGENT. NOT MARRIED. NO

KIDS. HAVE NOT SERVED. AND AS FAR AS BEING FAIR, IT KIND OF

DEPENDS UPON WHAT THE CASE IS ABOUT BECAUSE THERE IS A

DISPARITY BETWEEN STATE AND FEDERAL LAW.

THE COURT: IN WHAT REGARD?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SPECIFICALLY, MEDICAL

MARIJUANA.

THE COURT: WELL, THOSE THINGS -- THE CONSEQUENCES

OF YOUR DETERMINATION SHOULDN'T CONCERN YOU IN THE SENSE THAT

PENALTIES OR PUNISHMENT, THINGS LIKE THAT -- WE TELL TRIAL

JURORS, OF COURSE, THAT THEY CANNOT CONSIDER THE PUNISHMENT OR

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

# Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 26 of 69

1	THE CONSEQUENCE THAT CONGRESS HAS SET FOR THESE THINGS. WE'D
2	ASK YOU TO ALSO ABIDE BY THAT. WE WANT YOU TO MAKE A
3	BUSINESS-LIKE DECISION AND LOOK AT THE FACTS AND MAKE A
4	DETERMINATION OF WHETHER THERE WAS A PROBABLE CAUSE.
5	COULD YOU DO THAT? COULD YOU PUT ASIDE STRONG
6	PERSONAL FEELINGS YOU MAY HAVE?
7.	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT DEPENDS. I HAVE A VERY
8	STRONG OPINION ON IT. WE LIVE IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, NOT
9	FEDERAL CALIFORNIA. THAT'S HOW I FEEL ABOUT IT VERY STRONGLY.
10	THE COURT: WELL, I DON'T KNOW HOW OFTEN MEDICAL
11	MARIJUANA USE CASES COME UP HERE. I DON'T HAVE A GOOD FEEL
12	FOR THAT. MY INSTINCT IS THEY PROBABLY DON'T ARISE VERY
13	OFTEN. BUT I SUPPOSE ONE OF THE SOLUTIONS WOULD BE IN A CASE
14	IMPLICATING MEDICAL USE OF MARIJUANA, YOU COULD RECUSE
15	YOURSELF FROM THAT CASE.
16	ARE YOU WILLING TO DO THAT?
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SURE.
18	THE COURT: ALL OTHER CATEGORIES OF CASES YOU COULD
19	GIVE A FAIR, CONSCIENTIOUS JUDGMENT ON?

20

21

22

23

24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: FOR THE MOST PART, BUT I ALSO FEEL THAT DRUGS SHOULD BE LEGAL.

THE COURT: OUR LAWS ARE DIFFERENT FROM THAT. AND AS YOU HEARD ME EXPLAIN TO A LOT OF THE CASES THAT COME THROUGH IN OUR COURT ARE DRUG CASES. YOU'LL BE

CALLED UPON TO EVALUATE THOSE CASES OBJECTIVELY AND THEN MAKE

1.5

WITH IT?"

THE TWO DETERMINATIONS THAT I STARTED OFF EXPLAINING TO

"DO I HAVE A REASONABLE BELIEF THAT A CRIME WAS

COMMITTED? WHETHER I AGREE WITH WHETHER IT OUGHT TO BE A

CRIME OR NOT, DO I BELIEVE THAT A CRIME WAS COMMITTED AND THAT

THE PERSON THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS ASKING ME TO INDICT WAS

SOMEHOW INVOLVED IN THIS CRIME, EITHER COMMITTED IT OR HELPED

COULD YOU DO THAT IF YOU SIT AS A GRAND JUROR?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE LAST JURY I WAS ASKED TO SIT

ON, I GOT EXCUSED BECAUSE OF THAT REASON.

THE COURT: YOU SAID YOU COULDN'T DO IT? YOUR SENTIMENTS ARE SO STRONG THAT THEY WOULD IMPAIR YOUR OBJECTIVITY ABOUT DRUG CASES?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK RAPISTS AND MURDERERS OUGHT TO GO TO JAIL, NOT PEOPLE USING DRUGS.

THE COURT: I THINK RAPISTS AND MURDERERS OUGHT TO
GO TO JAIL, TOO. IT'S NOT FOR ME AS A JUDGE TO SAY WHAT THE
LAW IS. WE ELECT LEGISLATORS TO DO THAT. WE'RE SORT OF AT
THE END OF THE PIPE ON THAT. WE'RE CHARGED WITH ENFORCING THE
LAWS THAT CONGRESS GIVES US:

I CAN TELL YOU SOMETIMES I DON'T AGREE WITH SOME OF THE LEGAL DECISIONS THAT ARE INDICATED THAT I HAVE TO MAKE.

BUT MY ALTERNATIVE IS TO VOTE FOR SOMEONE DIFFERENT, VOTE FOR SOMEONE THAT SUPPORTS THE POLICIES I SUPPORT AND GET THE LAW CHANGED. IT'S NOT FOR ME TO SAY, "WELL, I DON'T LIKE IT. SO

I'M NOT GOING TO FOLLOW IT HERE."

YOU'D HAVE A SIMILAR OBLIGATION AS A GRAND JUROR EVEN THOUGH YOU MIGHT HAVE TO GRIT YOUR TEETH ON SOME CASES.

PHILOSOPHICALLY, IF YOU WERE A MEMBER OF CONGRESS, YOU'D VOTE AGAINST, FOR EXAMPLE, CRIMINALIZING MARIJUANA. I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S IT, BUT YOU'D VOTE AGAINST CRIMINALIZING SOME DRUGS.

THAT'S NOT WHAT YOUR PREROGATIVE IS HERE. YOUR PREROGATIVE INSTEAD IS TO ACT LIKE A JUDGE AND TO SAY, "ALL RIGHT. THIS IS WHAT I'VE GOT TO DEAL WITH OBJECTIVELY. DOES IT SEEM TO ME THAT A CRIME WAS COMMITTED? YES. DOES IT SEEM TO ME THAT THIS PERSON'S INVOLVED? IT DOES." AND THEN YOUR OBLIGATION, IF YOU FIND THOSE THINGS TO BE TRUE, WOULD BE TO VOTE IN FAVOR OF THE CASE GOING FORWARD.

I CAN UNDERSTAND IF YOU TELL ME "LOOK, I GET ALL THAT, BUT I JUST CAN'T DO IT OR I WOULDN'T DO IT." I DON'T KNOW WHAT YOUR FRAME OF MIND IS. YOU HAVE TO TELL ME ABOUT THAT.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NOT COMFORTABLE WITH IT.

THE COURT: DO YOU THINK YOU'D BE INCLINED TO LET PEOPLE GO ON DRUG CASES EVEN THOUGH YOU WERE CONVINCED THERE WAS PROBABLE CAUSE THEY COMMITTED A DRUG OFFENSE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WOULD DEPEND UPON THE CASE.

THE COURT: IS THERE A CHANCE THAT YOU WOULD DO

THAT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 30 of 69

•	

BOYS WORK FOR THE AND THEY WORK FOR A COMPANY WHERE THEY GO AND THE TO COPY OFF RECORDS:

THE COURT: THEY BOTH HAVE THE SAME JOB, SAME JOB FUNCTIONS?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. WELL, ACTUALLY, MY OLDER BOY HAD THIS JOB FOR -- HE'S BEEN WORKING FOR THE COMPANY FOR A LONG TIME.

THE COURT: TOLD HIS BROTHER "THIS IS A GOOD GIG"? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. HIS BROTHER WAS WORKING FOR A WHILE. THEN THE JOB OPENED UP, AND MY YOUNGER ONE NOW IS WORKING THERE. HE GOES ALSO ALL OVER

THE COURT: WHERE'S THE OLDER BROTHER?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE OLDER BROTHER NOW IS IN THINGS WERE A LITTLE BIT BETTER FOR HIM TO GROW AND BE ABLE TO BUY A HOME. SO HE'S OVER THERE WITH HIS WIFE AND TWO KIDS.

I HAVE BEEN ON A JURY BEFORE FOR FEDERAL IN 1980 MAYBE. A LONG, LONG TIME AGO. I'VE BEEN CALLED FOR SUPERIOR COURT IN EL CAJON AND HERE IN SAN DIEGO.

THE COURT: DID YOU WATCH OUR ORIENTATION FILM THIS MORNING AND APPRECIATE THE DIFFERENCE IN FUNCTIONS BETWEEN GRAND JURIES AND TRIAL JURIES?

Filed 04/28/2008

Filed 07/30/2007

Page 31 of 69

Page 31 of 69

ase 3:08-cr-00804-W Document 17-4 Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18

Case 3:08-cr-00804-W

#### Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 32 of 69 31 1 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I FOUND THAT OUT WHEN I GOT 2 HERE. 3 THE COURT: IF THE DRIVE'S NOT GOING TO BE A PROBLEM, YOU'RE HAPPY TO SERVE? 4 5 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CAN SERVE, YES. 6 THE COURT: WE'RE HAPPY TO HAVE YOU HERE. 7 THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, LADIES AND 8 GENTLEMEN, COMPRISES BOTH SAN DIEGO COUNTY -- AND MOST OF US 9 ARE FROM SAN DIEGO COUNTY -- AND ALSO IMPERIAL COUNTY. WE TRY 10 TO PULL RANDOMLY BUT SYSTEMATICALLY FROM IMPERIAL COUNTY, TOO. 11 IT'S NOT OUT OF THE ORDINARY. IT'S A LITTLE UNUSUAL THAT I'LL 12 GET MORE THAN ONE OR TWO IMPERIAL COUNTY PROSPECTIVE JURORS 13 EVEN ON A TRIAL JURY. BUT WE'RE HAPPY TO HAVE YOU, TEN-GALLON HAT AND ALL. 14 15 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS LIVE IN THE 1.7 I'M RETIRED. I'VE BEEN RETIRED FOR SIX YEARS. 18 19 THE COURT: WHAT KIND OF WORK DID YOU DO? 20 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WAS IN THE PRINTING INDUSTRY 21 YEARS. MY WIFE IS 22 WE HAVE CHILDREN: TWO OF THEM LIVE 23 THE OTHER IS A 24 25 AND MY OTHER LIVES IN

1	SHE'S A
2	THE COURT: DID THE SHOWER
3	WHEN LIVED AT HOME?
4	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: STILL SINGS IN THE SHOWER.
5	AND I'VE BEEN CALLED A FEW TIMES, BUT HAVE NOT
6	SERVED ON ANY JURIES. AND I CERTAINLY CAN BE FAIR.
7	THE COURT: THANK YOU, WE'RE HAPPY
8	TO HAVE YOU.
9	FINALLY,
10	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS
11	IN AN ACTIVE REAL ESTATE BROKER. MY
12	WIFE IS WE HAVE ADULT CHILDREN:
L3	ARE MARRIED, AND ONE'S A
L4	HAVE NO EXPERIENCE AS A JUROR. HOWEVER, I HAVE SERVED AS A
L5	WITNESS FOR THE GRAND JURY.
16	THE COURT: YOU'VE ACTUALLY BEEN CALLED AS A WITNESS
L7	BEFORE A GRAND JURY?
L8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I HAVE.
.9	THE COURT: HOW LONG AGO WAS THAT?
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD SAY ABOUT TEN YEARS.
21	AND YES, I CAN BE FAIR.
22	THE COURT: WELL, YOU MAY KNOW FROM YOUR EXPERIENCE
23	THAT IF YOU HAVE COUNSEL AS A WITNESS, YOUR COUNSEL DOESN'T
24	ACCOMPANY YOU IN TO THE GRAND JURY. THE HALLMARK OF THE GRAND
1	THE HALLIAM OF THE GRAND

JURY IS THAT IT'S A SECRET PROCEEDING AND NECESSARILY SO.

. 16

BECAUSE IF YOU, AS A GRAND JURY, DECIDE NO CHARGES SHOULD BE BROUGHT, THEN NO ONE'S THE WORSE FOR THE WEAR. NO ONE EVER KNOWS ABOUT THAT.

WE DO ALLOW WITNESSES TO CONSULT WITH THEIR LAWYERS,
BUT THEY MUST LEAVE THE GRAND JURY ROOM, CONSULT OUTSIDE WITH
THE LAWYER, AND THEN COME BACK IN. SO THAT'S WHAT YOU CAN
EXPECT IF THERE ARE WITNESSES WHO ARE REPRESENTED BY COUNSEL.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT WAS SO SECRETIVE THAT I DIDN'T EVEN KNOW WHAT I WAS THERE FOR.

THE COURT: I'M' GOING TO TOUCH ON THAT IN MY

REMARKS. BUT IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT -- IT'S CHARACTERISTIC

OF THE GRAND JURY, AND YOU WILL BE UNDER LEGAL OBLIGATIONS NOT

TO SPEAK OF WHAT GOES ON IN FRONT OF THE GRAND JURY. THERE'S

A LOT OF INTEREST SERVED BY THAT SECRECY, AS I SAID.

ORDINARILY, EVERYTHING IS SUPPOSED TO BE
TRANSCRIBED. WE'RE SUPPOSED TO KNOW WHAT OUR GOVERNMENT IS
DOING. BUT THIS IS ONE AREA WHERE TRADITIONALLY THE COURTS
AND EVERYONE ELSE SAYS, "NO. WE NEED TO HAVE CONFIDENTIALITY
AND SECRECY HERE." I TOUCHED ON ONE OF THE REASONS WHY IT HAS
TO DO WITH NOT RUINING THE REPUTATIONS OF PEOPLE, FOR EXAMPLE,
WHO MAY BE UNDER INVESTIGATION, BUT NO CHARGES EVER RESULT.
SOMETIMES THE POWER TO INDICT SOMEONE CAN BE THE POWER TO RUIN
A REPUTATION.

THERE ARE A LOT OF OTHER GOOD REASONS WHY THE GRAND JURY HAS TO FUNCTION SECRETLY. FIRST, IT PROMOTES YOUR

## Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 35 of 69

,24

SECURITY. PEOPLE WON'T KNOW THAT YOU'RE GRAND JURORS UNLESS
YOU TELL THEM. A LOT OF TIMES THE CRIMES UNDER INVESTIGATION,
THE GOVERNMENT IS NOT SURE YET WHETHER IT'S A CRIME. WE NEED
THE HELP OF THE GRAND JURY IN ASCERTAINING WHAT'S GOING ON.
SO THEY DON'T WANT TO TIP THEIR HAND AND SAY, "WE'RE LOOKING
AT SOMETHING." THEY DON'T WANT PEOPLE TO TAKE MEASURES TO
COVER UP CRIMINAL ACTIVITIES.

ON OTHER OCCASIONS, SOMEONE WHO KNOWS HE'S THE
OBJECT OF AN INVESTIGATION MIGHT FLEE TO A DIFFERENT COUNTRY
AND GET OUTSIDE THE JURISDICTION OF THE UNITED STATES WHERE
THEY COULDN'T BE REACHED.

SO ALL OF THOSE REASONS AND OTHERS PROMOTE THE POLICY OF GRAND JURY SECRECY. YOU TOUCHED ON SOMETHING THAT'S VERY IMPORTANT. IT WILL BE INCUMBENT UPON ALL OF YOU TO MAINTAIN THE SECRECY OF THE GRAND JURY IF YOU TAKE THE OATH AND SERVE AS GRAND JURORS.

HOW'S THE REAL ESTATE MARKET THESE DAYS, SLOW?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: IT'S A LITTLE SLOW. I

SPECIALIZE IN INVESTMENT PROPERTIES.

THE COURT: SOME THINGS ARE HELPING, THOUGH; RIGHT?
THE MORTGAGE RATES ARE STARTING TO DROP?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THEY'VE DROPPED A LITTLE BIT.

THE COURT: THAT OUGHT TO HELP.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YEAH. THE MARKET'S STILL PRETTY HIGH HERE IN SAN DIEGO PRICE-WISE.

· 4

. 9

THE COURT: I READ WHERE PEOPLE ARE JUST STAYING LONGER. THE SELLERS ARE NOT GIVING UP THEIR PLACES FOR LESS. THEY JUST SAY, "WELL, WE'LL STICK IT OUT. WE'LL DIG IN OUR HEELS AND STAY."

IS THAT WHAT YOU'RE EXPERIENCING, TOO?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, I FIND A LOT OF THAT. WHAT YOU HAVE TO REALIZE IS THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE, IF THEY JUST BOUGHT RECENTLY AND THEY'RE TRYING TO GET OUT OR THEY BOUGHT SOME SECONDARY PROPERTY AND SO ON, THOSE ARE THE PEOPLE THAT ARE HAVING PROBLEMS.

THE COURT: THEY'RE A LITTLE BIT UNDERWATER?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: SOME OF THEM ARE, YES.

THE COURT: THANK YOU, I APPRECIATE YOUR ANSWERS.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, HAVING SPOKEN WITH ALL OF YOU AND PASSED ON YOUR GENERAL QUALIFICATIONS TO SIT, IT'S NOW MY RESPONSIBILITY TO SELECT TWO OF YOUR NUMBER: ONE AS A FOREPERSON, THE OTHER AS A DEPUTY FOREPERSON. THE FOREPERSON PRESIDES OVER THE DELIBERATIONS OF THE GRAND JURY AND ACTS AS THE CONTACT WITH BOTH THE COURT AND THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE.

NEITHER THE FOREPERSON OR THE DEPUTY FOREPERSON HAVE
ANY GREATER SAY. IT'S THE DELIBERATIVE PROCESS. THE 23 OF
YOU ALL HAVE AN EQUAL SAY.

BUT I THINK, HAVING LISTENED TO YOUR ANSWERS AND

## Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 37 of 69 1 EVALUATED YOUR BACKGROUNDS, IT APPEARS TO ME, 2 HAVING PRIOR GRAND JURY SERVICE, THAT YOU WOULD BE A GOOD PERSON TO ACT AS THE FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY. 3 4 ARE YOU UP TO THE TASK AND WILLING TO ACCEPT THAT 5 ASSIGNMENT? 6 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I AM. 7 THE COURT: THE COURT WOULD THEN APPOINT 8 AS THE FOREPERSON OF THIS GRAND JURY. ARE YOU WILLING TO SERVE AS THE DEPUTY ··9. 10 FOREPERSON? 11 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: CERTAINLY. THE COURT: YOU WOULD BE THE PRESIDING GRAND JUROR 12 1.3 IN THE ABSENCE OF THOSE ARE THE DESIGNATIONS I WOULD MAKE, THEN: 14 AS FOREPERSON; . 15 16 FOREPERSON. 17 --000--. 18 THE CLERK: NEXT PANEL, 07-2, THIS IS THE THURSDAY 19 PANEL. 20 21 22 23 24 25:

### Case 3:07-cr-00491 BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 39 of 69

GENERALLY THAT I POSED TO THE OTHER PROSPECTIVE GRAND JURORS
ABOUT THE DIFFERENCES BETWEEN TRIAL JURIES AND GRAND JURIES
AND WHETHER INDIVIDUALS COULD FULFILL THAT FUNCTION.

WOULD YOUR ANSWERS HAVE BEEN BASICALLY THE SAME AS THOSE THAT I'VE BEEN GIVEN WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE TWO PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEEN EXCUSED?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

THE COURT:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12.

13

14

15

16

17

18.

19:

20.

21

22

 $\cdot 23$ 

.24

25

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M

I WORK FOR AN INSURANCE COMPANY

I'M MARRIED. MY WIFE IS A

HAVE KIDS AGE AND I'VE BEEN A JUROR BEFORE

PROBABLY TEN YEARS AGO ON KIND OF A LOW-LEVEL CRIMINAL CASE.

AND IN THE NAME OF FULL DISCLOSURE, I'D PROBABLY SUGGEST I'D

BE THE FLIPSIDE OF SOME OF THE INDIVIDUALS WHO HAVE CONVEYED

THEIR CONCERNS PREVIOUSLY. I HAVE A STRONG BIAS FOR THE U.S.

ATTORNEY, WHATEVER CASES THEY MIGHT BRING. I DON'T THINK

THEY'RE HERE TO WASTE OUR TIME, THE COURT'S TIME, THEIR OWN

TIME. I APPRECIATE THE EVIDENTIARY STANDARDS, I GUESS, MORE

OR LESS, AS A LAYPERSON WOULD; THAT THEY ARE CALLED UPON IN

ORDER TO BRING THESE CASES OR SEEK AN INDICTMENT.

AND THE GATEKEEPER ROLE THAT I GUESS WE'RE BEING
ASKED TO PLAY IS ONE THAT I'D HAVE A DIFFICULT TIME, IN ALL
HONESTY. I'M PROBABLY SUGGESTING THAT THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S
CASE WOULD BE ONE THAT I WOULD BE WILLING TO STAND IN FRONT

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

# Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 40 of 69

OF; IN OTHER WORDS, PREVENT FROM GOING TO A JURY.

THE COURT: IT SOMETIMES HAPPENS THAT AT THE TIME
THE CASE IS INITIALLY PRESENTED TO THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE,
THINGS APPEAR DIFFERENTLY THAN 10 DAYS LATER, 20 DAYS LATER
WHEN IT'S PRESENTED TO A GRAND JURY. THAT'S WHY THIS
GATEKEEPER ROLE IS VERY, VERY IMPORTANT.

YOU'RE NOT PART OF THE PROSECUTING ARM. YOU'RE INTENDED TO BE A BUFFER INDEPENDENT OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE. AND THE REAL ROLE OF THE GRAND JURY IS TO MAKE SURE THAT UNSUBSTANTIATED CHARGES DON'T GO FORWARD.

YOU'VE HEARD MY GENERAL COMMENTS. YOU HAVE AN APPRECIATION ABOUT HOW AN UNSUBSTANTIATED CHARGE COULD CAUSE PROBLEMS FOR SOMEONE EVEN IF THEY'RE ULTIMATELY ACQUITTED.

YOU APPRECIATE THAT; RIGHT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK I COULD APPRECIATE THAT,
YES.

THE COURT: AND SO WE'RE -- LOOK, I'LL BE HONEST WITH YOU. THE GREAT MAJORITY OF THE CHARGES THAT THE GRAND JURY PASSES ON THAT ARE PRESENTED BY THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE DO GO FORWARD. MOST OF THE TIME, THE GRAND JURY PUTS ITS SEAL OF APPROVAL ON THE INITIAL DECISION MADE BY THE U.S. ATTORNEY.

OBVIOUSLY, I WOULD SCREEN SOMEBODY OUT WHO SAYS, "I DON'T CARE ABOUT THE EVIDENCE. I'M NOT GOING TO PAY ATTENTION TO THE EVIDENCE. IF THE U.S. ATTORNEY SAYS IT'S GOOD, I'M

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

# Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 41 of 69

20.

21\_

25 -

GOING TO GO WITH THAT." IT DIDN'T SOUND LIKE THAT'S WHAT YOU WERE SAYING. YOU WERE SAYING YOU GIVE A PRESUMPTION OF GOOD FAITH TO THE U.S. ATTORNEY AND ASSUME, QUITE LOGICALLY, THAT THEY'RE NOT ABOUT THE BUSINESS OF TRYING TO INDICT INNOCENT PEOPLE OR PEOPLE THAT THEY BELIEVE TO BE INNOCENT OR THE EVIDENCE DOESN'T SUBSTANTIATE THE CHARGES AGAINST. THAT'S WELL AND GOOD.

YOU MUST UNDERSTAND THAT AS A MEMBER OF THE GRAND
JURY, YOU'RE THE ULTIMATE ARBITER. THEY DON'T HAVE THE
AUTHORITY TO HAVE A CASE GO FORWARD WITHOUT YOU AND FELLOW
GRAND JURORS' APPROVAL. I WOULD WANT YOU NOT TO JUST
AUTOMATICALLY DEFER TO THEM OR SURRENDER THE FUNCTION AND
GIVER THE INDICTMENT DECISION TO THE U.S. ATTORNEY. YOU HAVE
TO MAKE THAT INDEPENDENTLY.

YOU'RE WILLING TO DO THAT IF YOU'RE RETAINED HERE?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M NOT A PERSON THAT THINKS OF

ANYBODY IN THE BACK OF A POLICE CAR AS NECESSARILY GUILTY, AND

I WOULD DO MY BEST TO GO AHEAD AND BE OBJECTIVE. BUT AGAIN,

JUST IN THE NAME OF FULL DISCLOSURE, I FELT LIKE I SHOULD LET

YOU KNOW THAT I HAVE A VERY STRONG PRESUMPTION WITH RESPECT TO

ANY DEFENDANT THAT WOULD BE BROUGHT IN FRONT OF US.

THE COURT: I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. LET ME TELL YOU THE PROCESS WILL WORK MECHANICALLY. THEY'RE GOING TO CALL WITNESSES. AND WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO ASK YOU TO DO IS EVALUATE THE TESTIMONY YOU HEAR FROM WITNESSES.

23.

BEFORE YOU REACH A POINT WHERE YOU VOTE ON ANY INDICTMENT, THE U.S. ATTORNEY AND THE STENOGRAPHER LEAVE. THE ONLY PEOPLE LEFT WHEN THE VOTE IS TAKEN ARE THE GRAND JURORS THEMSELVES. THAT'S THE WAY THE PROCESS IS GOING TO WORK.

YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO SAY EITHER "WELL, IT HAS THE RING OF TRUTH TO ME, AND I THINK IT HAPPENED THE WAY IT'S
BEING SUGGESTED HERE. AT LEAST I'M CONVINCED ENOUGH TO LET
THE CASE GO FORWARD" OR "THINGS JUST DON'T HAPPEN LIKE THAT IN
MY EXPERIENCE, AND I THINK THIS SOUNDS CRAZY TO ME. I WANT
EITHER MORE EVIDENCE OR I'M NOT CONVINCED BY WHAT'S BEEN
PRESENTED AND I'M NOT GOING TO LET IT GO FORWARD."

CAN YOU MAKE AN OBJECTIVE ON FACTS LIKE THE ONES I'VE JUST DESCRIBED?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD DO MY BEST TO DO THAT.

I CERTAINLY WOULD WANT ME SITTING ON A GRAND JURY IF I WERE A

DEFENDANT COMING BEFORE THIS GRAND JURY. HAVING SAID THAT, I

WOULD DO MY BEST. I HAVE TO ADMIT TO A STRONG BIAS IN FAVOR

OF THE U.S. ATTORNEY THAT I'M NOT SURE I COULD OVERCOME.

THE COURT: ALL I'M TRYING TO GET AT IS WHETHER
YOU'RE GOING TO AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO INDICT IRRESPECTIVE OF
THE FACTS.

A FEW YEARS AGO, I IMPANELED A FELLOW HERE THAT WAS A SERGEANT ON THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT. AND YEARS AGO WHEN I WAS A PROSECUTOR, I WORKED WITH HIM. HE WAS ALL ABOUT ARRESTING AND PROSECUTING PEOPLE. BUT WHEN HE GOT HERE, HE

#### Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 43 of 69

SAID, "LOOK, I UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS A DIFFERENT FUNCTION."

I CAN PERFORM THAT FUNCTION." HE SERVED FAITHFULLY AND WELL

FOR A NUMBER OF -- OVER A YEAR, I THINK. 18 MONTHS, MAYBE.

HE EVENTUALLY GOT A PROMOTION, SO WE RELIEVED HIM FROM THE

GRAND JURY SERVICE.

BUT, YOU KNOW, HE TOOK OFF ONE HAT AND ONE UNIFORM AND PUT ON A DIFFERENT HAT ON THE DAYS HE REPORTED TO THE GRAND JURY. HE WAS A POLICEMAN. HE'D BEEN INVOLVED IN PROSECUTING CASES. BUT HE UNDERSTOOD THAT THE FUNCTION HE WAS PERFORMING HERE WAS DIFFERENT, THAT IT REQUIRED HIM TO INDEPENDENTLY AND OBJECTIVELY ANALYZE CASES AND ASSURED ME THAT HE COULD DO THAT, THAT HE WOULD NOT AUTOMATICALLY VOTE TO INDICT JUST BECAUSE THE U.S. ATTORNEY SAID SO.

AGAIN, I DON'T WANT TO PUT WORDS IN YOUR MOUTH. BUT I DON'T HEAR YOU SAYING THAT THAT'S THE EXTREME POSITION THAT YOU HAVE. I HEAR YOU SAYING INSTEAD THAT COMMON SENSE AND YOUR EXPERIENCE TELLS YOU THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S NOT GOING TO WASTE TIME ON CASES THAT LACK MERIT. THE CONSCIENTIOUS PEOPLE WHO WORK FOR THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE AREN'T GOING TO TRY TO TRUMP UP PHONY CHARGES AGAINST PEOPLE.

MY ANECDOTAL EXPERIENCE SUPPORTS THAT, TOO. THAT

DOESN'T MEAN THAT EVERY CASE THAT COMES IN FRONT OF ME I SAY,

"WELL, THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S ON THIS. THE PERSON MUST BE

GUILTY." I CAN'T DO THAT. I LOOK AT THE CASES STAND-ALONE,

INDEPENDENT, AND I EVALUATE THE FACTS. I DO WHAT I'M CHARGED

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

### Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 44 of 69

WITH DOING, WHICH IS MAKING A DECISION BASED ON THE EVIDENCE THAT'S PRESENTED.

UNDERSTAND THE DEFERENCE TO THE U.S. ATTORNEY. AND FRANKLY, I AGREE WITH THE THINGS THAT YOU'RE SAYING. THEY MAKE SENSE TO ME. BUT AT THE END OF THE DAY, YOUR OBLIGATION IS STILL TO LOOK AT THESE CASES INDEPENDENTLY AND FORM AN INDEPENDENT CONSCIENTIOUS BUSINESS-LIKE JUDGMENT ON THE TWO QUESTIONS THAT I'VE MENTIONED EARLIER: DO I HAVE A REASONABLE BELIEF THAT A CRIME WAS COMMITTED? DO I HAVE A REASONABLE BELIEF THAT THE PERSON TO BE CHARGED COMMITTED IT OR HELPED COMMIT IT?

CAN YOU DO THAT?

1.4

. 23

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: AGAIN, I WOULD DO MY BEST TO DO
THAT. BUT I DO BRING A VERY, VERY STRONG BIAS. I BELIEVE
THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, THE U.S. ATTORNEY WOULD HAVE OTHER FACTS
THAT WOULD RISE TO LEVEL THAT THEY'D BE ABLE TO PRESENT TO US
THAT WOULD BEAR ON THE TRIAL. I WOULD LOOK AT THE CASE AND
PRESUME AND BELIEVE THAT THERE ARE OTHER FACTS OUT THERE THAT
AREN'T PRESENTED TO US THAT WOULD ALSO BEAR ON TAKING THE CASE
TO TRIAL. I'D HAVE A VERY DIFFICULT TIME.

THE COURT: YOU WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO DO THAT. WE WOULDN'T WANT YOU TO SPECULATE THAT THERE'S OTHER FACTS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN PRESENTED TO YOU. YOU HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION BASED ON WHAT'S BEEN PRESENTED.

BUT LOOK, I CAN TELL YOU I IMAGINE THERE'S PEOPLE IN

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

#### Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 45 of 69

THE U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE THAT DISAGREE WITH ONE ANOTHER ABOUT THE MERITORIOUSNESS OF A CASE OR WHETHER A CASE CAN BE WON AT A JURY TRIAL.

IS THAT RIGHT, MR. ROBINSON?

MR. ROBINSON: ON OCCASION, YOUR HONOR. NOT VERY OFTEN.

THE COURT: IT COMES UP EVEN IN AN OFFICE WITH

PEOPLE CHARGED WITH THE SAME FUNCTION. I DON'T WANT TO BEAT

YOU UP ON THIS, I'M EQUALLY CONCERNED WITH.

SOMEBODY WHO WOULD SAY, "I'M GOING TO AUTOMATICALLY DROP THE

TRAP DOOR ON ANYBODY THE U.S ATTORNEY ASKS." I WOULDN'T WANT

YOU TO DO THAT. IF YOU THINK THERE'S A POSSIBILITY YOU'LL DO

THAT, THEN I'D BE INCLINED TO EXCUSE YOU.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK THAT THERE'S A POSSIBILITY I WOULD BE INCLINED TO DO THAT.

THE COURT: I'M GOING TO EXCUSE YOU, THEN. THANK
YOU. I APPRECIATE YOUR ANSWERS.

LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, IF YOU'LL GIVE ME JUST A SHORT PAUSE. I'M GOING TO RECESS THIS PROCEEDING. I HAVE A JURY TRIAL OUT. THE JURY HAS SENT A QUESTION. I'M GOING TO DISCUSS HOW TO ANSWER THE QUESTION WITH COUNSEL. YOU'RE ALL WELCOME TO STAY AND LISTEN TO THIS. WE'LL BE IN RECESS MOMENTARILY.

---000--

THE COURT: NOW BACK TO THE GRAND JURY IMPANELMENT.

- 10

#### Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM - Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 47 of 69

WORKS FOR

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

THE COURT: IS HE AN

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HE WORKS FOR THE

46

4

I'VE BEEN ON TWO TRIALS: ONE WAS A MUNICIPAL COURT IT WASN'T CRIMINAL. MONEY WAS INVOLVED. AND THE OTHER ONE WAS A CRIMINAL. AND THE FIRST ONE WAS IN THE '80'S SOMETIME. THE LAST ONE WAS PROBABLY TEN YEARS AGO. AND YES, I CAN BE FAIR.

THE COURT: HOW'S THE

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: VERY WELL.

THE COURT: WHEN I WAS STILL A COLLEGE STUDENT, WE HAD EMBARKED UPON A SPEAKERS PROGRAM. I GOT TOGETHER WITH AT AND WE MADE AN ARRANGEMENT WHERE THE SPEAKERS WOULD COME. AND THESE WERE THE DRAWS IN PEOPLE OF STATURE THAT HAVE SOMETHING IMPORTANT TO SAY.

.WE USED TO HAVE THEM STAY AT THE AND THE SAID THAT "IF THEY'LL POSE FOR A PICTURE HERE AT THE THEN ALL THE ACCOMMODATIONS ARE ON US," WHICH WAS A GREAT ACCOMMODATION FOR OUR LITTLE TINY SPEAKERS PROGRAM. BUT THEY WOULD SPEAK AT THE COLLEGE THE NIGHT BEFORE BACK IN 1976, THE NEXT DAY. AND THEN THEY D GO TO

> SO I HAVE FOND MEMORIES OF THAT. PLEASE GIVE ... MY REGARDS.

25

24

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WILL.

THE COURT:

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M

EARS IN THE NAVY AS A NAVAL AVIATOR.

28 YEARS IN INDUSTRY, IN R&D, DEFENSE INDUSTRY. I'M MARRIED.

MY WIFE IS SHE DID ADULT

CHILDREN, ALL OVER 40: THEM PRODUCED CHILDREN FOR A

LIVING, I THINK. MY DAUGHTER IS IN I HAVE A

UP IN THE BAY AREA WHO'S IN AND ONE

IN WHO DOES FOR

ONE'S A WHO AT

12 AND THE I

13 HAVE BEEN SELECTED AND BOUNCED OFF A NUMBER OF FEDERAL AND

14 STATE JURIES, BUT I DID SERVE ON ONE CIVIL CASE IN THE

15 SUPERIOR COURT. I UNDERSTAND THE DISTINCTION BETWEEN THAT

16 WORK AND THE GRAND JURY.

THE COURT: THE BASIS FOR BOUNCING YOU, WERE YOU PRO

18 | PROSECUTION OR PRO DEFENSE?

19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK HALF THE TIME IT WAS

JUST THE MILITARY EXPERIENCE. "THE STORY IS IN COURT MARTIAL,

21 IF IT WEREN'T TRUE, THEY WOULDN'T HAVE CHARGED THEM TYPE OF

22 THING.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

. 9

10

17

24

25

THE COURT: YOU HEARD HE ADHERED TO THAT

KIND OF BELIEF IN THIS CIVILIAN PROCEEDING.

YOU'RE NOT OF THAT FRAME OF MIND?

#### Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 49 of 69

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: NO.

. 9

THE COURT: IT'S UNFAIR TO ASK YOU WHY YOU WERE BOUNCED. I'D HAVE TO ASK THE LAWYERS. WHEN WE PICK TRIAL JURIES A LOT OF TIME, SOME PEOPLE -- I TALK TO PEOPLE LIKE MY NEIGHBORS AND ALL. THEY SAY, "THEY BOUNCED ME OFF." THEY'RE UPSET ABOUT IT. AND I TRY TO ASSUAGE THEM BY SAYING, "LOOK, LET ME TELL YOU SOMETHING." AND THIS IS IN A TRIAL JURY CONTEXT. "IT REALLY SAYS MORE ABOUT THE LAWYER THAN IT DOES ABOUT YOU." BECAUSE LAWYERS HAVE THESE IDEAS OF WHO THEY WANT ON A JURY OR WHAT THE COMPOSITION OF THE JURY OUGHT TO BE."

EVA'S HEARD ME TELL THIS STORY BEFORE. WHEN I WAS A YOUNG LAWYER TRYING CASES JUST STARTING OUT, MY RULE OF THUMB AS TO THE TEN CHALLENGES I HAD WAS NO ONE YOUNGER THAN I AM. IF THEY'RE YOUNGER THAN I AM, THEY HAVEN'T HAD TO MAKE HARD DECISIONS. THEY DON'T HAVE A SUFFICIENT STAKE IN THE COMMUNITY. I COULD RATTLE OFF THREE OR FOUR JUSTIFICATIONS FOR IT.

THE TRUTH OF THE MATTER IS I PROBABLY BOUNCED A LOT OF PEOPLE THAT WOULD HAVE BEEN FINE. IT REALLY ILLUSTRATES THE POINT THAT IT SAYS MORE ABOUT THE LAWYER THAN IT SAYS ABOUT THE PERSON BEING BOUNCED.

THANK YOU, I APPRECIATE YOUR ANSWERS.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS

LIVE IN A PRODUCTION SCHEDULER. I'M

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 50 of 69

#### 49 1 MARRIED. MY WIFE 2 BOYS FROM TO 3 THE COURT: YOU POOR SOUL. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE NO TRIAL EXPERIENCE, AND 4 5 I COULD BE FAIR. 6 THE COURT: MY GOODNESS. 7 WHAT'S THE AGE SPAN BETWEEN YOUR BOYS? 8 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: FROM TO THEY KEEP ME VERY 9 BUSY. THE COURT: I RAISED TWO THAT WERE TWO YEARS APART, 10 AND THAT KEPT ME RUNNING ALL THE TIME. 11 YOU HAVE HUH? 12 13 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE TRIED FOR A GIRL, AND IT 14 NEVER WORKED. 15 THE COURT: DO YOU HAVE BROTHERS AND SISTERS? 16 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAVE ANOTHER BROTHER AND TWO 17 SISTERS. 18 THE COURT: HIGH INCIDENCE OF BOYS IN YOUR FAMILY? . 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: VERY MUCH. 20 THE COURT: DID YOUR MOTHER HAVE A LOT OF BOYS, TOO? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY SISTER HAS TO BUT MY 21 COUSINS, IT'S LIKE BOYS AND GIRLS. 22 THE COURT: IT MUST BE SOMETHING IN ONE'S GENETIC 23 24 CODE. WE HAVE TO ASK THE DOCTOR, THE GENETICIST, ABOUT IT. 25 MY FAMILY TREE RUNS THE SAME WAY, ALMOST ALL BOYS. ALLOF US

C	ase 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 51 of 69
	50
1	KNOCK OUT BOYS. I DIDN'T KEEP GOING.
2	
-	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'M DONE.  THE COURT: THANK YOU,
3	THE COURT: THANK YOU,
4	
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS I LIVE
6	IN I'M, A REGISTERED NURSE. I'M MARRIED.
7	WE HAVE ADULT CHILDREN. MY HUSBAND WORKS FOR
8	HEIS A S
9	ONE IS A WHO WORKS
10	FOR A IS AND ANOTHER IS
11	AND ONE IS A
12	I HAVE NO EXPERIENCE AS A JUROR. AND I THINK I
13	CAN BE FAIR.
14	THE COURT: YOU'RE GOING TO HEAR CASES,
15	I'M SURE, INVOLVING AGENTS
16	YOU SAID YOUR OTHER SON IS A
17	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HE'S AN
18	THE COURT: ONE'S AN AND THE OTHER
·19	IS.
20	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: A
21	THE COURT: I THOUGHT YOU HAD TWO
.22	
23/	JUST ONE?
24	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY HUSBAND WORKS FOR
25	
- 1	

. 8

1.1

THE COURT: YOU'RE GOING TO BE HEARING CASES FROM

CAN YOU BE OBJECTIVE ABOUT THOSE CASES? CAN YOU LISTEN TO THE FACTS AND MAKE A STAND-ALONE DECISION ON EACH CASE WITHOUT INSTINCTIVELY SAYING, "WELL, THEY WORK FOR THE AS MY SON OR MY HUSBAND."

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I THINK I CAN BE FAIR.

THE COURT: THAT WOULD BE YOUR OBLIGATION. YOU'RE NOT AUTOMATICALLY DISQUALIFIED. AS YOU HEARD ME SAY, WE HAD A SERGEANT ON THE SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT THAT WAS SERVING ON ONE OF OUR GRAND JURIES. SO IT'S NOT AUTOMATICALLY DISQUALIFYING. BUT YOU HAVE TO BE ABLE TO ASSURE ME THAT "I'LL LOOK AT THESE CASES INDEPENDENTLY. I UNDERSTAND THE IMPORTANCE OF ACTING AS A BUFFER BETWEEN THE GOVERNMENT'S POWER TO CHARGE SOMEONE WITH A CRIME AND THEN BRINGING THEM TO TRIAL. AND I'LL FULFILL THAT FUNCTION CONSCIENTIOUSLY."

YOU CAN DO THAT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CAN DO THAT.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS I LIVE
IN I'M A TRAFFIC ENGINEER WITH THE
CITY OF

THE COURT: MAYBE YOU CAN GET THOSE TELEPHONE POLES DOWN. I HAVE A BIG POLE RIGHT ON THE LEFT SIDE. I JUST READ

C	ase 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 53 of 69
	52
1	IN THE PAPER THAT WE'RE GOING TO PAY MORE FOR OUR TELEPHONE
2	BILLS SO THAT THEY CAN TAKE ALL THESE POLES DOWN, AND I
3	EXPECTED TO HEAR THAT CHAINSAWS THE NEXT MORNING. YOU CAN BET
4	WHEN THE NEXT BILL COMES, I'M GOING TO HAVE THE ASSESSMENT.
5	YOU DON'T KNOW ANYTHING ABOUT THAT?
6	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THE PEOPLE IN THE UTILITIES
7	PROGRAM KNOW.
· 8-	I'M NOT MARRIED. I DON'T HAVE ANY CHILDREN. I WAS
9	JUST ON A CRIMINAL CASE IN THE SUPERIOR COURT JUST LAST MONTH.
10	SO I'M GETTING HIT AGAIN HERE. AND I UNDERSTAND THE
11	DIFFERENCE BETWEEN TRIAL AND
12	THE COURT: I WAS GOING TO SAY, YOU MUST BE
13	REPORTING EARLY AND OFTEN IF YOU'VE BEEN CALLED FOR BOTH STATE
14	AND FEDERAL SERVICE AT THE SAME TIME.
15	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CAN BE FAIR.
16	THE COURT: THANK YOU,
17	
18	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS
19	IN CONTROL I'M AN INVESTIGATOR AND HOUSING COORDINATOR
2.0	FOR THE
21	MARRIED FOR 12 YEARS. MY HUSBAND IS
. 22	DON'T HAVE CHILDREN BY CHOICE. I DON'T HAVE ANY EXPERIENCE IN
23	THE COURT SYSTEM. I CAN BE FAIR. IT WOULD BE AN HONOR,
24	SIR.
	THE COURT: THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE YOUR ANSWERS.
25	THE COURT: THANK TOO. I APPRECIATE TOOK ANSWERS.

# Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 56 of 69

55

UNFORTUNATELY, MY OTHER SON HAS HE HAS BEEN FOR SEVERAL YEARS. I'VE HAD EXPERIENCE ON ONE TRIAL. IT WAS A CRIMINAL CASE AT THE VISTA COURTHOUSE. AND I CERTAINLY CAN BE FAIR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

GOOD AFTERNOON.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS I LIVE IN

I'M MARRIED. I HAVE CHILDREN. ONE IS A

MY WIFE IS A S

MY SON IS A

I'M A CONTRACT OFFICER FOR THE NAVY WORKING AT THE

AT AND I'VE BEEN CALLED

THREE TIMES. I'VE NEVER BEEN IMPANELED ON A JURY. I'VE

STATED MY PRO POLICE VIEWS.

THE COURT: YOU CAN SERVE IN THIS FUNCTION AS A GRAND JUROR OBJECTIVELY, LOOK AT THE EVIDENCE, AND ANSWER THE QUESTIONS THAT I'VE REPEATED NOW SEVERAL TIMES: DO I HAVE A REASONABLE BELIEF THAT A CRIME WAS COMMITTED? DO I HAVE A REASONABLE BELIEF THAT THE PERSON THEY WANT ME TO INDICT EITHER COMMITTED THE CRIME OR ASSISTED WITH IT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, SIR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU,

the state of the s

PROSPECTIVE JUROR:

I LIVE IN

24 25

. 1

2

3

5

6

7

8.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

..20-

21

22

Page 57 of 69 Filed 07/30/2007 Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 56 "THE COURT: HOW IS THAT? I WOULD PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I LOVE BEING 2 HAVE WALKED TODAY, EXCEPT I THINK IT'S GOING TO RAIN. 3 AND I HAVE NO CHILDREN. I'M MARRIED. I'M A CPA. 4 NEVER SERVED ON A JURY. AND I CAN BE FAIR. 5 THE COURT: THANK YOU 6 7 at the I LIVE IN PROSPECTIVE JUROR: ·\_8 I DO NOT WORK. I'VE BEEN MARRIED FOR MY HUSBAND IS RETIRED FROM THE 1.0 BUT NOW IS A 11. 12 THE COURT: WHAT WAS HIS JOB WITH THE 13 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HE WAS A ..15 BUT ALWAYS WORKED IN 16 17 WHAT DOES HE DO NOW AS A THE COURT: 18 19 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: HE'S A 20 AND THEN, FOR EXAMPLE, HE'LL WORKS IN 21 AT THE BE WORKING 22 THE COURT: LET'S HOPE THEY WIN THAT GAME. 23 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 24 I'M A LITTLE WORRIED ABOUT IT. THE COURT: 25

Page 58 of 69

Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007

57 1 TORN WITH THE KANSAS CITY CHIEFS. I WAS HOPING -- I THOUGHT 2 THEY WOULD BE THE EASIER TEAM FOR THE CHARGERS TO BEAT. PROSPECTIVE JUROR: WE HAVE ADULT 3 4 GRANDCHILDREN. OUR 4 5 FOR # . 6 OUR Y 7 COMPANY IN I'VE BEEN CALLED, BUT NEVER 8 YES, I COULD BE FAIR. SERVED ON A JURY. THE COURT: YOU SAY THAT MINDFUL OF EVERYTHING YOU .9 10 LEARNED ON THE TAPE AND ALL THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS THAT 11 HAVE BEEN GIVEN SQ FAR? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 12 YES. 13 THE COURT: PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS 4 14 I'M UNEMPLOYED AT THE CURRENT 15 IN THE 16 I'M A HOUSEWIFE. I WAS A BOOKKEEPER FOR SEVERAL YEARS. 17. I'VE BEEN MARRIED FOR 19. MY SPOUSE IS A . 18 WE HAVE 🗱 ADULT 🚛 , BOTH WHO LIVE IN ..19 .ONE WORKS IN THE OTHER THINK I WAS CALLED FOR TRIAL JURY OVER AT SUPERIOR COURT ABOUT 20 15 YEARS AGO, BUT I DON'T REMEMBER -- I DON'T THINK I WAS 21 22 IMPANELED, AT LEAST NOT THAT I REMEMBER. I LIKE THINGS TO 23 BALANCE OUT. I LIKE TO FIND HOW THINGS WORK. THAT'S WHY I 24 ENJOY WORKING WITH NUMBERS. I GREW UP ABOUT 20 MINUTES AWAY

25

FROM GILLETTE STADIUM.

Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 60 of 69 **459** THE COURT: THANK YOU. 1 2 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS 3 I WORK AT A CHILDREN'S BOOK STORE IN 4 I LIVE - I'M MARRIED. MY HUSBAND WORKS 5 ADULT CHILDREN, MY OLDEST GRADUATED FROM 6 7 HE'S A MY SECOND GRADUATED FROM 8 I'VE BEEN CALLED TO JURY DUTY, BUT . 9 I CAN BE FAIR NEVER IMPANELED. 10 THE COURT: LET ME TEST MY MEMORY WITH YOU. 11 "WHERE THE WILD THINGS ARE." 12 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: 13 Service of the servic THE COURT: IS THAT BOOK STILL VERY POPULAR? 14 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: VERY. I CAN'T KEEP IT ON THE 15 THAT'S WHAT EVERYBODY WANTS FOR A BABY GIFT. 16 SHELF. THE COURT: DO YOU USE THAT BOOK WITH 17 YOUR BOYS? 18 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES. 19 THANK YOU. 20. THE COURT: 21 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS 22 I'M A TEACHER AND A COACH 23 FOR ADOLESCENT KIDS; HEALTH, P.E., LA CROSSE. I'M MARRIED. 24 HE'S GONE BACK TO 25 MY SPOUSE IS

Ç	ase 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 61 of 69
	60
	SCHOOL. HE TINKERS IN THE TOON'T HAVE ADULT
1	,
2	CHILDREN. I HAVE HAD EXPERIENCE IN CRIMINAL AND CIVIL CASES.
3	THE COURT: HOW MUCH EXPERIENCE? YOU'VE SAT ON BOTH
4	CRIMINAL AND CIVIL JURIES?
5	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES, SIR.
6	THE COURT: WHEN WAS YOUR MOST RECENT JURY
. 7	EXPERIENCE?
8	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: APPROXIMATELY FIVE YEARS AGO, A
9	CIVIL CASE.
10	THE COURT: LET ME PUT TO YOU THE QUESTION THAT I
11	PUT TO MANY WHO'VE HAD BOTH TYPES OF JURY EXPERIENCE.
12	YOU UNDERSTAND THE DISTINCTION HERE THAT THIS GRAND
13	JURY WOULD PERFORM A DIFFERENT FUNCTION FROM THE ONE
14	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I UNDERSTAND THAT.
15	THE COURT: AND
16	YOUR LAST ANSWER.
17	YOU CAN BE FAIR?
18·	PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I CAN BE FAIR.
19	THE COURT: THANK YOU.
20	
21	PROSPECTÎVE JUROR: MY NAME IS
22	IN A CATHOLIC DEACON AT A CHURCH
23	AND I'M ALSO PRESIDENT OF A COMPANY IN THAT
24	MAKES FOR THE LOCK BEEN MARRIED FOR
25	YEARS. MY WIFE IS A HARMAN SHE

. 1

√.6

.9

2.5

WORK. I HAVE ADULT CHILDREN AND TWO GRANDCHILDREN. MY

IS A FOR THE MY

DOES ON AT AT AT

AND HE'S PRESENTLY AS WE DISCUSSED.

TEN YEARS AGO, I WAS A JUROR ON A CIVIL CASE. AND I HAVE TO

SAY I'M SOFT ON IMMIGRATION BECAUSE I'VE DONE VOLUNTEER WORK

WITH IMMIGRANTS IN THE FIELD. BUT I DO NOT THINK THAT WOULD

STAND IN MY WAY OF MAKING FAIR AND OBJECTIVE DECISIONS.

THE COURT: AS YOU HEARD ME EXPLAIN EARLIER TO ONE
OF THE PROSPECTIVE GRAND JURORS, WE'RE NOT ABOUT TRYING TO
CHANGE PEOPLE'S PHILOSOPHIES OR ATTITUDES HERE. THAT'S NOT MY
BUSINESS. BUT WHAT I HAVE TO INSIST ON IS THAT YOU FOLLOW THE
LAW THAT'S GIVEN TO US BY UNITED STATES CONGRESS. WE ENFORCE
THE FEDERAL LAWS HERE.

I THINK I CONFESSED ALOUD THAT THERE'S SOME OF THE
LAWS THAT I DISAGREE WITH THAT I HAVE TO ENFORCE. SO IT'S NOT
ABOUT ME OR MY PHILOSOPHIES. IT'S ABOUT PERFORMING A
CONSCIENTIOUS FUNCTION HERE AND SEEING IF THE FACTS SUPPORT AN
OUTCOME ONE WAY OR THE OTHER.

CAN YOU DO THAT?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DON'T THINK I WOULD HAVE ANY PROBLEM.

THE COURT: THANK YOU.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: YES.

THE COURT: INTERESTING.

MR. IS TALKING ABOUT THE CASE THAT

PROBABLY ALL OF US KNOW FROM TV, THE MIRANDA DECISION, WHERE

YOU HAVE TO TELL THE SUSPECTS BEFORE YOU QUESTION THEM "YOU

HAVE A RIGHT TO REMAIN SILENT. ANYTHING YOU SAY CAN AND WILL

BE HELD AGAINST YOU IN A COURT OF LAW."

HE WAS THE LAWYER FOR ERNESTO MIRANDA, HUH? PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WAS AN ACLU CASE.

ed desert of and abstract order over the Ballion of Links

WHE

2.2

THE COURT: HE GOT KILLED IN A BAR FIGHT IN PHOENIX YEARS AFTER HE WAS VINDICATED.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT'S RIGHT. WHEN THE POLICE

CAME TO ARREST THE SUSPECT, THEY KNEW THEY HAD TO READ

SOMETHING TO HIM. THEY WEREN'T SURE WHAT. AND THE OTHER

POLICEMAN WHO WAS LOOKING THROUGH THE VICTIM'S -- MIRANDA'S

WALLET SAID, "LOOK HERE. I FOUND SOMETHING. I THINK THIS IS

IT." SO THE GUY WHO KILLED MIRANDA WAS READ HIS MIRANDA

RIGHTS FROM WHAT WAS IN MIRANDA'S WALLET.

THE COURT: AMONG THE MEMORABILIA THAT
DID HE ACTUALLY AUTOGRAPH ANY OF THOSE CARDS?

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I DIDN'T GO THROUGH IT. IT WAS BOXES AND BOXES OF PAPERS.

COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPTION

THE COURT: I WOULD IMAGINE IN THIS DAY, THAT WOULD

Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 65 of 69

64

BE SOMETHING THAT COULD FETCH MONEY ON EBAY.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: THAT WAS PRE-EBAY.

I HAD NATURAL CHILDREN, TWO OF WHOM ARE ALIVE.

ONE IS A AND THE OTHER HAS A

ONE OF MY CHILDREN WHO DIED WAS A LITIGATION ATTORNEY IN

I HAVE STEPCHILDREN. ONE IS A CRIMINAL

DEFENSE LAWYER IN COUNTY, AND THE OTHER IS AN

I WAS SEATED AS A CRIMINAL JUROR IN SUPERIOR

COURT. WE ACQUITTED. AND I CERTAINLY CAN BE FAIR.

THE COURT: THANK YOU,

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

.21

. 22

: 23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

YOUR ANSWERS.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS. I GO

BY AFTER A TWO-YEAR MISSION FOR MY CHURCH, I WAS

FORTUNATE TO MARRY MY CHILDHOOD SWEETHEART. WE'VE BEEN

MARRIED FOR YEARS. SHE'S A DOMESTIC GODDESS. WE HAVE

CHILDREN. WE HAVE ONE OUR OLDER ARE MARRIED. OUR

IS A STUDENT. OUR OTHER CHILDREN, ONE IS IN

THE OTHER IS THE WITH

AS FAR AS MY LIVING, I'M AN ARCHITECT.

I'M

LIBRARIES FOR THE CITY OF AND

I HAVE EXPERIENCE IN TERMS OF TRIAL, BUT IT'S

LIMITED TO EXPERT WITNESS PRIMARILY ON ZONING ISSUES AND

#### 65 1 CONSTRUCTION DEFECT. I'VE PROBABLY BEEN INVOLVED IN SOMEWHERE 2 BETWEEN 13 AND 15 OF THOSE KINDS OF CASES. YOUR HONOR, I WILL 3 BE FAIR. THE COURT: THANK YOU VERY MUCH, APPRECIATE YOUR ANSWERS. 5 6 7 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: MY NAME IS 8 I'M A REALTOR, AND MY HUSBAND IS A 🕟 WE HAVE ONE WHO IS I HAVE HAD. 9. NO EXPERIENCE WITH BEING A JUROR. I CAN BE FAIR. 10 11 THE COURT: THANK YOU, 12 ONCE AGAIN, I HAVE TO MAKE A DETERMINATION HERE OF 13 WHO MIGHT BE THE FOREPERSON AND DEPUTY FOREPERSON. 14 HOW ABOUT YOU? ARE YOU UP TO THE 15 TASK OF SERVING AS THE FOREPERSON? LET ME TELL YOU ABOUT THE 16 ROLE OF A FOREPERSON. 17 PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I HAD 18 AGO. I'M DOING FINE, BUT SOME DAYS --19 THE COURT: I'M GOING TO APPOINT A DEPUTY 20 FOREPERSON, TOO. LET ME TELL YOU WHAT THE FUNCTION IS. 21 IT USED TO BE WHEN THE GRAND JURY DECIDED ON A GROUP 22 OF CASES AND DECIDED TO RETURN INDICTMENTS IN CASES, THE 23 ENTIRE GRAND JURY WOULD HAVE TO COME DOWN AND AFFIRM THAT 24 "THESE ARE OUR DECISIONS." A FEW YEARS AGO, FIVE, SIX, SEVEN 25 YEARS AGO, CONGRESS PASSED A LAW THAT SAID, "WE'LL ALLOW THE

#### Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 67 of 69

<14

FOREPERSON OF THE GRAND JURY TO COME DOWN AND REPRESENT TO THE COURT THE RESULTS OF GRAND JURY BALLOTING"

SO TYPICALLY, AS YOU HEARD ME MENTION, ONCE THE GRAND JURY SESSION IS THROUGH FOR THE DAY, THE GRAND JURY FOREPERSON OR DEPUTY FOREPERSON WILL COME DOWN AND ATTEST TO THE COURT ALONG WITH THE APPROPRIATE PAPERWORK THE RESULTS OF GRAND JURY'S WORK FOR THE DAY. THAT WOULD BE ABOUT IT.

THE OTHER THING IS THE U.S. ATTORNEY WOULD LOOK TO YOU TO HELP SCHEDULE SESSIONS WITH THE GRAND JURY, TO GET A CONSENSUS AMONG GRAND JURORS ABOUT BREAKS OR HOW LONG YOU WANT TO GO, THAT TYPE OF THING. I DON'T WANT TO SAY IT'S NOT IMPORTANT, BUT IT'S NOT GOING TO TAX YOUR RESOURCES MUCH MORE, I DON'T THINK, THAN JUST SIMPLY SERVING AS A GRAND JUROR. THERE IS A LITTLE MORE INVOLVED, AND YOU HAVE A TITLE.

PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I'D RATHER BE THE DEPUTY.

THE COURT: WELL, THEN, LET'S SWITCH PLACES.

I WAS GOING TO CALL UPON YOU TO SEE IF
YOU'D BE INTERESTED IN BEING A DEPUTY.

DEFER TO YOU AS THE FOREPERSON.

ARE YOU WILLING TO TAKE THAT ASSIGNMENT?
PROSPECTIVE JUROR: I WOULD BE.

OF THE GRAND JURY AND AS THE DEPUTY FOREPERSON.

NOW, I MENTIONED THE POSSIBILITY THAT THERE MIGHT BE PEOPLE THAT WANTED TO SWITCH BETWEEN THURSDAY AND FRIDAY

IS INDIFFERENT?

I'LL SWITCH YOU WITH

I LIKE TO BE ACCOMMODATING TO EVERYBODY. AND SEEING NO MORE HANDS, I'M NOT GOING TO MAKE ANY MORE INQUIRIES. WILL YOU STAND.

22

23

24

25

Case 3:07-cr-00491-BTM Document 18 Filed 07/30/2007 Page 69 of 69 1 I PROPOSE SWITCHING THE TWO OF THEM. 2 DO YOU WANT THEM TO SWITCH PHYSICALLY RIGHT NOW? 3 THE CLERK: NO. 4 AND THOSE TWO WILL 5 THE COURT: SWITCH. 6 WILL SWITCH. 7 8 YON THE END AND 9 WE'LL MAKE THOSE SWITCHES AT THE APPROPRIATE TIME. 10 LADIES AND GENTLEMEN, THOSE OF YOU WHO HAVE BEEN 11 SELECTED TO SIT ON THE GRAND JURY, IF YOU'LL STAND AND RAISE. 12 YOUR RIGHT HAND, PLEASE. 13 .. --000---14. 15 16 I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE TESTIMONY 17 ADDUCED IN THE FOREGOING MATTER IS 18 A TRUE RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS. 19 20. 7-3-07 S/EVA OEMICK 21: DATE EVA OEMICK 22 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER 23 24 25